

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,984	03/22/2004	Daniel P. Lawrence	589800194DVC 1366	
	590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			VIJAYAKUMAR, KALLAMBELLA M	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/805,984	LAWRENCE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kallambella Vijayakumar	1751			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03/22	<u>½2004</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1.	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5)				

Application/Control Number: 10/805,984

### **Detailed Action**

• This application is a divisional of Sl. No. 10/075777 and Claims 1-9 are currently pending with the application.

• The IDS filed 03/22/2004 has been considered and acknowledged by the examiner.

#### Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species-1: Claim-3,

RFID tag

Species-2: Claim-4

Electrostatic Detection Device

Species-4: Claims 5-6

Antistatic

Species-5: Claims 7-9

Design

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1-2 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Anna Budde on 09/20/2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Species-I, claim 3. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 4-9 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e/a) as anticipated by Takeda et al (U. S. Patent 6,355,598).

Takeda et al disclose a resonance tag comprising a resonance circuit responsive to electromagnetic waves of radio format, printed on a thermal transfer sheet using a conductive ink comprising of styrene-acrylic emulsion, and this would meet the limitations of an article comprising a printed layer meeting the limitations of instant claim-1 and RFID in claim-3. The thickness of the dried printed mark was around 0.1-30 microns that would meet the limitation of instant claim-2. The presence of an antenna per the limitation of instant claim-3 would be inherent to transmit and receive frequency-wave signals (Col-3, Lines: 3-11, Col-4, Lines: 9-15; Col-9, Lines: 56-60; Col-13, Lines 58-68; Col-14, Lines: 52-63; Col-15, Lines: 13-23; Col-16, Lines: 1-65; Fig-6). All the limitations of the instant claims are met.

The reference is anticipatory.

2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Morizumi et al (EP 014302).

Morizumi et al disclose a circuit connecting an antenna circuit and IC chip formed by printing of conductive ink comprising 70-80% Ag particles in a thermosetting resin such as styrene/maleic copolymer resin and a surfactant would meet the limitation of an article in instant claim-1 and the thickness of the printed layer in instant claim-2 would be anticipated (Abstract, Sections: 0031-0039). All the limitations of the instant claims are met

The reference is anticipatory.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Morizumi et al (US Patent 6,459,588) and Robertz et al (US 6,206,292). The state of the art shown by Moskowitz et al (US 5,528,222), Sorensen et al (US 4,495,216), Rancien et al (US 2003/0168514) and Dukler et al (US 2002/0140557).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kallambella Vijayakumar whose telephone number is 571-272-1324. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 07.00 - 16.30 hrs, Alt. Fri: 07.00-15.30 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KMV September 23, 2004.

₿,

Mark Kopec Primary Examiner